

# **The New York State District Report Card**

**Accountability** and Overview Report 2010 - 11

District EVANS-BRANT CENTRAL SCHOOL **DISTRICT (LAKE SHORE)** District ID 14-14-01-06-0000 Superintendent JAMES PRZEPASNIAK Telephone (716) 926-2201 Grades PK-12, UE, US

# This District's Report Card

The New York State District Report Card is an important part of the Board of Regents' effort to raise learning standards for all students. It provides information to the public on the district's status and the status of schools within the district under the State and federal accountability systems, on student performance, and on other measures of school and district performance. Knowledge gained from the report card on a school district's strengths and weaknesses can be used to improve instruction and services to students.

State assessments are designed to help ensure that all students reach high learning standards. They show whether students are getting the knowledge and skills they need to succeed at the elementary, middle, and commencement levels and beyond. The State requires that students who are not making appropriate progress toward the standards receive academic intervention services.

### Use this report to:

**Get District Profile information**.

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this district's learning environment.

#### **Review District** 2 Accountability Status.

This section indicates whether a district made adequate yearly progress (AYP) and identifies the district's accountability status.

### **View School Accountability** Status.

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011-12 accountability status.



### **Review an Overview** of District Performance.

This section has information about the district's performance on state assessments in English, mathematics, and science.

### For more information:

Office of Information and Reporting Services New York State Education Department Room 863 EBA Albany, NY 12234 Email: dataquest@mail.nysed.gov

#### District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

### **District Profile**

This section shows comprehensive data relevant to this school district's learning environment, including information about enrollment, average class size, and teacher qualifications.

### Enrollment

	2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
Pre-K	72	77	85
Kindergarten	183	164	168
Grade 1	219	180	155
Grade 2	204	228	174
Grade 3	202	201	213
Grade 4	212	211	201
Grade 5	226	221	204
Grade 6	184	233	220
Ungraded Elementary	3	7	14
Grade 7	219	195	228
Grade 8	215	234	194
Grade 9	256	214	223
Grade 10	254	236	217
Grade 11	243	250	227
Grade 12	239	258	248
Ungraded Secondary	16	18	12
Total K–12	2875	2850	2698

### Enrollment Information

*Enrollment* counts are as of Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day, which is typically the first Wednesday of October of the school year. Students who attend BOCES programs on a part-time basis are included in a district's enrollment. Students who attend BOCES on a full-time basis or who are placed full time by the district in an out-of-district placement are not included in a district's enrollment. Students classified by districts as "pre-first" are included in first grade counts.

### **Average Class Size**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Common Branch	19	19	20
Grade 8			
English	18	20	24
Mathematics	17	21	23
Science	18	20	23
Social Studies	17	20	24
Grade 10			
English	23	20	21
Mathematics	21	20	16
Science	19	19	24
Social Studies	21	22	17

### Average Class Size Information

Average Class Size is the total registration in specified classes divided by the number of those classes with registration. *Common Branch* refers to self-contained classes in Grades 1–6.

## **Demographic Factors**

	200	8-09	200	9–10	2010-11	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Eligible for Free Lunch	739	26%	706	25%	796	30%
Reduced-Price Lunch	270	9%	290	10%	210	8%
Student Stability*		N/A		N/A		N/A
Limited English Proficient	0	0%	0	0%	1	0%
Racial/Ethnic Origin						
American Indian or Alaska Native	276	10%	297	10%	292	11%
Black or African American	52	2%	57	2%	49	2%
Hispanic or Latino	48	2%	53	2%	42	2%
Asian or Native	16	1%	24	1%	21	1%
Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander						
White	2482	86%	2415	85%	2294	85%
Multiracial	1	0%	4	0%	0	0%

\* Available only at the school level.

### **Attendance and Suspensions**

	2007	2007-08		-09	2009-10	
	#	%	#	%	#	%
Annual Attendance Rate		95%		95%		94%
Student Suspensions	72	2%	124	4%	115	4%

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

### Demographic Factors Information

Eligible for Free Lunch and Reduced-Price Lunch percentages are determined by dividing the number of approved lunch applicants by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) enrollment in full-day Kindergarten through Grade 12. Eligible for Free Lunch and Limited English Proficient counts are used to determine Similar Schools groupings within a Need/Resource Capacity category.

### Attendance and Suspensions Information

Annual Attendance Rate is determined by dividing the school district's total actual attendance by the total possible attendance for a school year. A district's actual attendance is the sum of the number of students in attendance on each day the district's schools were open during the school year. Possible attendance is the sum of the number of enrolled students who should have been in attendance on each day schools were open during the school year. Student Suspension rate is determined by dividing the number of students who were suspended from school (not including in-school suspensions) for one full day or longer anytime during the school year by the Basic Educational Data System (BEDS) day enrollments for that school year. A student is counted only once, regardless of whether the student was suspended one or more times during the school year.

### **Teacher Qualifications**

	2008–09	2009-10	2010-11
Total Number of Teachers	261	255	240
Percent with No Valid Teaching Certificate	0%	0%	0%
Percent Teaching Out of Certification	1%	2%	0%
Percent with Fewer Than Three Years of Experience	6%	5%	5%
Percentage with Master's Degree Plus 30 Hours or Doctorate	25%	27%	28%
Total Number of Core Classes	700	674	610
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified Teachers in This District	1%	1%	0%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in High-Poverty Schools Statewide	8%	6%	5%
Percent Not Taught by Highly Qualified in Low-Poverty Schools Statewide	1%	1%	0%
Total Number of Classes	943	957	916
Percent Taught by Teachers Without Appropriate Certification	2%	2%	0%

### **Teacher Turnover Rate**

	2007-08	2008–09	2009-10
Turnover Rate of Teachers with Fewer than Five Years of Experience	12%	29%	42%
Turnover Rate of All Teachers	11%	12%	20%

### **Staff Counts**

2008–09	2009–10	2010-11
30	31	29
46	48	48
3	2	1
6	6	5
	30 46 3	30         31           46         48           3         2

\* Not available at the school level.

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

### Teacher Qualifications Information

The *Percent Teaching Out of Certification* is the percent doing so more than on an incidental basis; that is, the percent teaching for more than five periods per week outside certification.

Core Classes are primarily K-6 common branch, English, mathematics, science, social studies, art, music, and foreign languages. To be Highly Qualified, a teacher must have at least a Bachelor's degree, be certified to teach in the subject area, and show subject matter competency. A teacher who taught one class outside of the certification area(s) is counted as Highly Qualified provided that 1) the teacher had been determined by the school or district through the HOUSSE process or other state-accepted methods to have demonstrated acceptable subject knowledge and teaching skills and 2) the class in question was not the sole assignment reported. Credit for incidental teaching does not extend beyond a single assignment. Independent of Highly Qualified Teacher status, any assignment for which a teacher did not hold a valid certificate still registers as teaching out of certification. High-poverty and low-poverty schools are those schools in the upper and lower quartiles, respectively, for percentage of students eligible for a free or reduced-price lunch.

### Teacher Turnover Rate Information

Teacher Turnover Rate for a specified school year is the number of teachers in that school year who were not teaching in the following school year divided by the number of teachers in the specified school year, expressed as a percentage.

### Staff Counts Information

Other Professionals includes administrators, guidance counselors, school nurses, psychologists, and other professionals who devote more than half of their time to non-teaching duties. Teachers who are shared between buildings within a district are reported on the district report only.

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

### Understanding How Accountability Works in New York State

The federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) Act requires that states develop and report on measures of student proficiency in 1) English language arts (ELA), in 2) mathematics, and on 3) a third indicator. In New York State in 2010–11, the third indicator is science at the elementary/middle level and graduation rate at the secondary level. Schools or districts that meet predefined goals on these measures are making Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP).





### 1 English Language Arts (ELA)

To make AYP in ELA, every accountability group must make AYP. For a group to make AYP, it must meet the participation *and* the performance criteria.

### **A** Participation Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, 95 percent of Grades 3–8 students enrolled during the test administration period in each group with 40 or more students must be tested on the New York State Testing Program (NYSTP) in ELA or, if appropriate, the New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT), or the New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA) in ELA. At the secondary level, 95 percent of seniors in 2010–11 in each accountability group with 40 or more students must have taken an English examination that meets the students' graduation requirement.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

At the elementary/middle level, the Performance Index (PI) of each group with 30 or more continuously enrolled tested students must equal or exceed its Effective Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) or the group must make Safe Harbor. (NYSESLAT is used only for participation.) At the secondary level, the PI of each group in the 2007 cohort with 30 or more members must equal or exceed its Effective AMO or the group must make Safe Harbor. To make Safe Harbor, the PI of the group must equal or exceed its Safe Harbor Target and the group must qualify for Safe Harbor using the third indicator, science or graduation rate.

### 2 Mathematics

The same criteria for making AYP in ELA apply to mathematics. At the elementary/middle level, the measures used to determine AYP are the NYSTP and the NYSAA in mathematics. At the secondary level, the measures are mathematics examinations that meet the students' graduation requirement.

### **3** Third Indicator

In addition to English language arts and mathematics, the school must also make AYP in a third area of achievement. This means meeting the criteria in science at the elementary/middle level and the criteria in graduation rate at the secondary level.

**Elementary/Middle-Level Science:** To make AYP, the All Students group must meet the participation criterion *and* the performance criterion.

### **A** Participation Criterion

Eighty percent of students in Grades 4 and/or 8 enrolled during the test administration period in the All Students group, if it has 40 or more students, must be tested on an accountability measure. In Grade 4, the measures are the Grade 4 elementary-level science test and the Grade 4 NYSAA in science. In Grade 8 science, the measures are the Grade 8 middle-level science test, Regents science examinations, and the Grade 8 NYSAA in science.

#### **B** Performance Criterion

The PI of the All Students group, if it has 30 or more students, must equal or exceed the State Science Standard (100) or the Science Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Elementary/Middle-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the group must meet both the participation criterion and the performance criterion in science.

**Secondary-Level Graduation Rate:** For a school to make AYP in graduation rate, the percent of students in the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort in the All Students group earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target.

Qualifying for Safe Harbor in Secondary-Level ELA and Math: To qualify, the percent of the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010 must equal or exceed the Graduation-Rate Standard (80%) or the Graduation-Rate Progress Target for that group.

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## **Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability**

### 12<sup>th</sup> Graders

The count of 12<sup>th</sup> graders enrolled during the 2010–11 school year used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for secondarylevel ELA and mathematics. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### 2007 Cohort

The count of students in the 2007 accountability cohort used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for secondary-level ELA and mathematics. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the secondary-level ELA and mathematics pages.

### Accountability Cohort for English and Mathematics

The accountability cohort is used to determine if a school or district met the performance criterion in secondary-level ELA and mathematics. The 2007 school accountability cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2007–08 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2007–08 school year, who were enrolled on October 6, 2010 and did not transfer to a diploma granting program. Students who earned a high school equivalency diploma or were enrolled in an approved high school equivalency preparation program on June 30, 2011, are not included in the 2007 school accountability cohort. The 2007 district accountability cohort consists of all students in each school accountability cohort plus students who transferred within the district after BEDS day plus students who were placed outside the district by the Committee on Special Education or district administrators and who met the other requirements for cohort membership. Cohort is defined in Section 100.2 (p) (16) of the Commissioner's Regulations.

### Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP)

Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) indicates satisfactory progress by a district or a school toward the goal of proficiency for all students.

### Annual Measurable Objective (AMO)

The Annual Measurable Objective (AMO) is the Performance Index value that signifies that an accountability group is making satisfactory progress toward the goal that 100 percent of students will be proficient in the State's learning standards for English language arts and mathematics by 2013–14. The AMOs for each grade level will be increased as specified in CR100.2(p) (14) and will reach 200 in 2013–14. (See Effective AMO for further information.)

### **Continuous Enrollment**

The count of continuously enrolled tested students used to determine the Performance Index for the Test Performance part of the AYP determination for elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the second numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages.

### **Continuously Enrolled Students**

At the elementary/middle level, continuously enrolled students are those enrolled in the school or district on BEDS day (usually the first Wednesday in October) of the school year until the test administration period. At the secondary level, all students who meet the criteria for inclusion in the accountability cohort are considered to be continuously enrolled.

## Effective Annual Measurable Objective (Effective AMO)

The Effective Annual Measurable Objective is the Performance Index (PI) value that each accountability group within a school or district is expected to achieve to make AYP. The Effective AMO is the lowest PI that an accountability group of a given size can achieve in a subject for the group's PI not to be considered significantly different from the AMO for that subject. If an accountability group's PI equals or exceeds the Effective AMO, it is considered to have made AYP. A more complete definition of Effective AMO and a table showing the PI values that each group size must equal or exceed to make AYP are available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

### **Graduation Rate**

The Graduation Rate on the Graduation Rate page is the percentage of the 2006 cohort that earned a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2010.

### **Graduation-Rate Total Cohort**

The Graduation-Rate Total Cohort, shown on the Graduation Rate page, is used to determine if a school or district made AYP in graduation rate. For the 2010–11 school year, this cohort is the 2006 graduation-rate total cohort. The 2006 total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 anywhere in the 2006–07 school year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in the 2006–07 school year, and who were enrolled in the school/ district for five months or longer or who were enrolled in the school/district for less than five months but were previously enrolled in the same school/district for five months or longer between the date they first entered Grade 9 and the date they last ended enrollment. A more detailed definition of graduation-rate cohort can be found in the *SIRS Manual* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 graduation-rate total cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and graduation rates. Groups with fewer than 30 students in the graduation-rate total cohort are not required to meet the graduation-rate criterion.

### **Limited English Proficient**

For all accountability measures, if the count of LEP students is equal to or greater than 30, former LEP students are also included in the performance calculations.

### **Non-Accountability Groups**

Female, Male, and Migrant groups are not part of the AYP determination for any measure.

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability (continued)

### Participation

Accountability groups with fewer than 40 students enrolled during the test administration period (for elementary/middlelevel ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 40 12<sup>th</sup> graders (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) are not required to meet the participation criterion. If the Percentage Tested for an accountability group fell below 95 percent for ELA and math or 80 percent for science in 2010–11, the participation enrollment ("Total" or "12<sup>th</sup> Graders") shown in the tables is the sum of 2009–10 and 2010–11 participation enrollments and the "Percentage Tested" shown is the weighted average of the participation rates over those two years.

### Performance Index (PI)

A Performance Index is a value from 0 to 200 that is assigned to an accountability group, indicating how that group performed on a required State test (or approved alternative) in English language arts, mathematics, or science. Student scores on the tests are converted to four performance levels, from Level 1 to Level 4. (See performance level definitions on the Overview summary page.) At the elementary/middle level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Continuously Enrolled Tested Students Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Continuously Enrolled Tested Students]

At the secondary level, the PI is calculated using the following equation:

100 × [(Count of Cohort Members Performing at Levels 2, 3, and 4 + the Count at Levels 3 and 4) Count of All Cohort Members]

A list of tests used to measure student performance for accountability is available at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs.** 

### **Progress Targets**

For accountability groups below the State Standard in science or graduation rate, the Progress Target is an alternate method for making AYP or qualifying for Safe Harbor in English language arts and mathematics based on improvement over the previous year's performance.

*Science:* The current year's Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the previous year's Performance Index (PI). Example: The 2010–11 Science Progress Target is calculated by adding one point to the 2009–10 PI.

Graduation Rate: The Graduation-rate Progress Target is calculated by determining a 20% gap reduction between the rate of the previous year's graduation-rate cohort and the state standard. Example: The 2010–11 Graduation-Rate Progress Target = [(80 – percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009) × 0.20] + percentage of the 2005 cohort earning a local or Regents diploma by August 31, 2009.

Progress Targets are provided for groups whose PI (for science) or graduation rate (for graduation rate) is below the State Standard.

### **Safe Harbor Targets**

Safe Harbor provides an alternate means to demonstrate AYP for accountability groups that do not achieve their EAMOs in English or mathematics. The 2010–11 safe harbor targets are calculated using the following equation:  $2009-10 \text{ PI} + (200 - \text{the } 2009-10 \text{ PI}) \times 0.10$ 

Safe Harbor Targets are provided for groups whose PI is less than the EAMO.

### Safe Harbor Qualification (\*)

On the science page, if the group met both the participation and the performance criteria for science, the Safe Harbor Qualification column will show "Qualified." If the group did not meet one or more criteria, the column will show "Did not qualify." A "‡" symbol after the 2010–11 Safe Harbor Target on the elementary/middle- or secondary-level ELA or mathematics page indicates that the student group did not make AYP in science (elementary/middle level) or graduation rate (secondary level) and; therefore, the group did not qualify for Safe Harbor in ELA or mathematics.

#### **State Standard**

The criterion value that represents minimally satisfactory performance (for science) or a minimally satisfactory percentage of cohort members earning a local or Regents diploma (for graduation rate). In 2010–11, the State Science Standard is a Performance Index of 100; the State Graduation-Rate Standard is 80%. The Commissioner may raise the State Standard at his discretion in future years.

### **Students with Disabilities**

For all measures, if the count of students with disabilities is equal to or greater than 30, former students with disabilities are also included in the performance calculations.

### **Test Performance**

For districts and schools with fewer than 30 continuously enrolled tested students (for elementary/middle-level ELA, math, and science) or fewer than 30 students in the 2007 cohort (for secondary-level ELA and mathematics) in the All Students group in 2010–11, data for 2009–10 and 2010–11 for accountability groups were combined to determine counts and Performance Indices. For districts and schools with 30 or more continuously enrolled students/2007 cohort members in the All Students group in 2010–11, student groups with fewer than 30 members are not required to meet the performance criterion. This is indicated by a "—" in the Test Performance column in the table.

### Total

The count of students enrolled during the test administration period used to determine the Percentage Tested for the Participation part of the AYP determination for elementary/ middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science. These are the first numbers in the parentheses after the subgroup label on the elementary/middle-level ELA, mathematics, and science pages. For accountability calculations, students who were excused from testing for medical reasons in accordance with federal NCLB guidance are not included in the count.

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## **Understanding Your District Accountability Status**

The list below defines the district status categories applied to each accountability measure under New York State's district accountability system, which is divided into a Federal Title I component and a State component. Accountability measures for districts are English language arts (ELA), mathematics, elementary/middle-level science, and graduation rate. A district may be assigned a different status for different accountability measures. The overall status of a district is the status assigned to the district for the accountability measure with the most advanced designation in the hierarchy. If the district receives Title I funds, it is the most advanced designation in the Title I hierarchy, unless the district is in good standing under Title I but identified as DRAP under the State hierarchy. A district that does not receive Title I funding in a school year does not have a federal status in that year; however, all districts receive a state status even if they do not receive Title I funding. Consequences for districts not in good standing can be found at: http://www.p12.nysed.gov/irs/accountability/.

<b>Federal Title I Status</b> (Applies to all New York State districts receiving Title I funds)	<b>New York State Status</b> (Applies to New York State districts)
<ul> <li>District in Good Standing</li> <li>A district is considered to be in good standing if it has not bee or a District Requiring Academic Progress.</li> </ul>	en identified as a District in Need of Improvement
District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP for two consecutive years on the same accountability measure is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) A district that has not made AYP on the same accountability measure for two consecutive years is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 1) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 2) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) for the following year.
District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 4) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 3) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4) for the following year.
▲ District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) A District in Need of Improvement (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District in Need of Improvement (Year 5 and above) for the following year, if it continues to receive Title I funds.	District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) A District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 4 and above) that does not make AYP on the accountability measure for which it was identified is considered a District Requiring Academic Progress (Year 5 and above) for the following year.

Pending – A district's status is "Pending" if the district requires special evaluation procedures and they have not yet been completed.

# 2 District Accountability

District EVANS-BRANT CENTRAL SCHOOL DISTRICT (LAKE SHORE)


District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

### Summary

<b>Overall Accountability</b>	▲ Good Standing						
Status (2011–12)	ELA	▲ Good Standing	Science	▲ Good Standing			
	Math	▲ Good Standing	Graduation F	Rate 🔥 Good Standing			
Title I Part A Funding	Years	the District Receiv	ved Title I Part A Fundi	ing			
	2009-	10	2010-11	2011-12			
	YES		YES	YES			

### On which accountability measures did this district make Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) and which groups made AYP on each measure?

	Elementary/	Middle Level		Secondary Lo	.evel			
Student Groups	English Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	English Language Arts	Mathematics Graduation Rate			
All Students	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Image: A set of the set of the</li></ul>	<b>v</b>		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native	<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	<b>v</b>		-	-			
Black or African American	-	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	••••••••••••••••		
Hispanic or Latino	_	_	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	_	–	••••••••••		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	-	-						
White	~	<b>V</b>	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	<b>v</b>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	•••••••••••••••••••••		
Multiracial								
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities	X	X		_	_			
Limited English Proficient				•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	<b>~</b>		<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	✓	••••••••••••••••••		
Student groups making AYP in each subject	<b>X</b> 4 of 5	<b>X</b> 4 of 5	🖌 1 of 1	🗸 3 of 3	🖌 3 of 3	✔ 1 of 1		



District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level English Language Arts**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
<b>Accountability Measures</b>	4 of 5	Student groups making AYP in English language arts
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP	Participation		Test Performance		Performance Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	Safe Harbor Target	
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (1280:1250)	~	<b>v</b>	99%	V	155	119			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (137:129)	~	•	99%	<b>~</b>	141	113			
Black or African American (27:27)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (19:19)	-	_	–	_	-	-		–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:14)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (1083:1061)	<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	158	119		••••	
Multiracial (0:0)								••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (183:201)	X	~	100%	X	104	114	108	114	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (564:543)	~	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<b>v</b>	144	117			
Final AYP Determination	🗙 4 of 5	5							
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (651:631)			99%		160	118			
Male (629:619)		••••••	100%	•••••••	151	118		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	••••••••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	••••••••••	••••	

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## **Elementary/Middle-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	4 of 5	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	X	Did not make AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level mathematics accountability measures?

	AYP	Participati	Participation		<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbor Target		
(Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12	
Accountability Groups									
All Students (1281:1253)	~	<b>V</b>	100%	<b>V</b>	170	134			
Ethnicity									
American Indian or Alaska Native (136:129)	~	<b>~</b>	100%	~	160	128			
Black or African American (27:27)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (20:19)	_	_	-	_	-	-	••••••••••••••	–	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (14:14)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (1084:1064)	<	✓	100%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	172	134	••• •••	•••••	
Multiracial (0:0)	•••••		•••••				••••••••••••••	•••••	
Other Groups									
Students with Disabilities (185:200)	×	~	99%	X	124	129	129	132	
Limited English Proficient (0:0)									
Economically Disadvantaged (564:543)	~	~	100%	~	161	132			
Final AYP Determination	X 4 of 9	5						·	
Non-Accountability Groups									
Female (650:632)			100%		172	133			
Male (631:621)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		100%		168	133	•••••••••	•••••	
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	•••••••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		••••	•••••	••••	•••••	

used on this page.

NOTE: See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## Elementary/Middle-Level Science

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in science
	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on elementary/middle-level science accountability measures?

	AYP		Participatio	Participation		<b>Test Performance</b>		Performance Objectives		
Student Group (Total: Continuous Enrollment)	Status	Safe Harbor Qualification	Met Criterion	Percentage Tested	Met Criterion	Performance Index	State Standard	Progres 2010–11	s Target 2011–12	
Accountability Groups			_							
AllStudents (402:389)	~	Qualified	~	99%	~	189	100			
Ethnicity										
American Indian or Alaska Native (44:42)		Qualified	~	100%	~	183	100			
Black or African American (8:8)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Hispanic or Latino (6:5)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (3:3)		-	-	-	-	-	-		-	
White (341:331)		Qualified	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	99%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	190	100			
Multiracial (0:0)			••••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••		••••••			
Other Groups										
Students with Disabilities (60:65)		Qualified	~	98%	~	172	100			
Limited English Proficient (0:0)			••••							
Economically Disadvantaged (176:166)		Qualified	~	98%	~	183	100			
Final AYP Determination	<b>/</b> 1 o	f 1								
Non-Accountability Groups										
Female (204:196)				99%		187	100			
Male (198:193)				99%		190	100			
Migrant (0:0)	• •••••	••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	•••••	••••••	•••••	

#### Symbols

- Made AYP
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 Total/Fewer Than 30
   Continuous Enrollment

March 17, 2012

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## Secondary-Level English Language Arts

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing				
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in English language arts				
	✓	Made AYP				
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]				

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level English language arts accountability measures?

	AYP Participation		on	<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	Performance Objectives		
Student Group		Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
(12th Graders: 2007 Cohort)	Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
Accountability Groups								
All Students (244:230)	~	<b>V</b>	98%	<b>V</b>	186	176		
Ethnicity								
American Indian or Alaska Native (20:17)	_	-	-	-	-	-		_
Black or African American (5:4)	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Hispanic or Latino (4:3)	-	–	–	_	-	-	••••	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0:0)		••••••			••••			•••••
White (215:206)	<	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	186	175	••••	•••••
Multiracial (0:0)	••••••••••	•••••••••	••••		•••• •••••••		••• ••••	•••••
Other Groups								
Students with Disabilities (26:22)	_	-	-	-	-	-		-
Limited English Proficient (0:0)		•••••••••••••••••••••						•••••
Economically Disadvantaged (73:66)	~	~	100%	~	180	171		•••••
Final AYP Determination	🖌 3 of 3	}						
Non-Accountability Groups								
Female (109:106)			99%		192	173		
Male (135:124)	•••••••••••	•••••••	96%		181	174	••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••
Migrant (0:0)	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••		••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability

for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## **Secondary-Level Mathematics**

Accountability Status for This Subject (2011–12)	^	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	3 of 3	Student groups making AYP in mathematics
	✓	Made AYP
Prospective Status		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on secondary-level mathematics accountability measures?

AYP Participation		<b>Test Perfor</b>	mance	Performance Objectives			
	Met	Percentage	Met	Performance	Effective	Safe Harbo	or Target
Status	Criterion	Tested	Criterion	Index	AMO	2010-11	2011–12
~	~	98%	<b>V</b>	180	173		
_	_	-	_	-	-		-
-	-	-	-	-	-		-
_	_	-	_	-	–	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	-
		••••					•••••
<	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	98%	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	179	172	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••
• •••••	••••••	••••		•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••••••••	••••••••••••••	
_	_	-	_	-	-		-
							•••••
~	•	100%	~	180	168		•••••
🗸 3 of 3	3						
		100%		185	170		
	•••••••••	97%		176	171	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
• •••••	•••••••••	••••	•••••	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	Status	Met       Status     Met       Criterion	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested         ✓       98%         –       –	Met Criterion       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion         ✓       98%       ✓         –       –       –         –	Met Status       Percentage Tested       Met Criterion       Performance Index         Met Index       Performance Index         Index       Perfor	Met Status         Percentage Tested         Met Criterion         Performance Index         Effective AMO           Image: Criterion         Image: C	Met Status       Percentage (riterion       Met rested       Performance index       Effective AMO       Safe Harbs 2010-11         V       98%       V       180       173         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -       -         -       <

used on this page.

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels

#### Symbols

#### Made AYP

- ✓<sup>SH</sup> Made AYP Using Safe Harbor Target
- X Did not make AYP
- Fewer Than 40 12<sup>th</sup> Graders/Fewer Than 30 Cohort
- ‡ Did not qualify for Safe Harbor

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

### **Graduation Rate**

Accountability Status for This Indicator (2011–12)	•	Good Standing
Accountability Measures	1 of 1	Student groups making AYP in graduation rate
	~	Made AYP
<b>Prospective Status</b>		This district will be in good standing in 2012-13. [201]

# How did students in each accountability group perform on graduation rate accountability measures?

	Graduati	on	Objectives			
Student Group		Met	Graduation	State	Progress Target	
(2006 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort)	AYP	Criterion	Rate	Standard	2010–11	
Accountability Groups						
All Students (288)	<ul> <li>✓</li> </ul>	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	73%	80%	73%	
Ethnicity						
American Indian or Alaska Native (22)		-	-	-		
Black or African American (2)		_	_	-		
Hispanic or Latino (7)		-	_	-		
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander (0)	•••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••••••••••••••••••••	••••••		
White (257)	•••••	<ul> <li></li> </ul>	75%	80%	75%	
Multiracial (O)	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••		
Other Groups						
Students with Disabilities (50)		<ul> <li>Image: A start of the start of</li></ul>	32%	80%	16%	
Limited English Proficient (0)	•••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••••••••••••••		
Economically Disadvantaged (86)	••••••	X	58%	80%	63%	
Final AYP Determination	🖌 1 of 1					
Non-Accountability Groups						
Female (134)			81%	80%		
Male (154)	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	67%	80%		
Migrant ( <b>O</b> )	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		

#### Symbols

Made AYP

X Did not make AYP

**NOTE:** See Useful Terms for Understanding Accountability for explanations and definitions of terms and table labels used on this page.

Fewer than 30 Graduation-Rate Total Cohort

### **Aspirational Goal**

The Board of Regents has set an aspirational goal that 95% of students in each public school and school district will graduate within five years of first entry into grade 9. The graduation rate for the 2006 total cohort through June 2011 (after 5 years) for this district is **78%** and, therefore, this district **did not** meet this goal. The aspirational goal does not impact accountability.

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

### 2011–12 Accountability Status of Schools in Your District

This section lists all schools in your district by 2011–12 accountability status.

#### In Good Standing

6 schools identified 100% of total

A J SCHMIDT ELEMENTARY SCHOOL HIGHLAND ELEMENTARY SCHOOL JOHN T WAUGH ELEMENTARY SCHOOL LAKE SHORE CENTRAL MIDDLE SCHOOL LAKE SHORE SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL WILLIAM T HOAG ELEMENTARY SCHOOL

### Summary of 2010–11 District Performance

4

Performance on the State assessments in English language arts, mathematics, and science at the elementary and middle levels is reported in terms of mean scores and the percentage of tested students scoring at or above Level 2, Level 3, and Level 4. Performance on the State assessments in ELA and mathematics at the secondary level is reported in terms of the percentage of students in a cohort scoring at these levels.

	-	of students that above Level 3	Total Tested
English Language Arts	0%	50%	100%
Grade 3	53%		218
Grade 4	66%		201
Grade 5	59%		203
Grade 6	72%		216
Grade 7	52%		225
Grade 8	55%		194
Mathematics			
Grade 3	53%		219
Grade 4	77%		202
Grade 5	77%		203
Grade 6	81%		216
Grade 7	79%		229
Grade 8	73%		193
Science			
Grade 4	93%		201
Grade 8	82%		156
	-	of students that above Level 3	2007 Total Cohort
Secondary Level	0%	50%	100%

85%

80%

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

### About the Performance Level Descriptors

### **English Language Arts**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the English language arts knowledge and skills expected at this grade level.

### **Mathematics**

#### Level 1: Below Standard

Student performance does not demonstrate an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 2: Meets Basic Standard

Student performance demonstrates a partial understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 3: Meets Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates an understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

#### Level 4: Exceeds Proficiency Standard

Student performance demonstrates a thorough understanding of the mathematics content expected at this grade level.

# How are Need/Resource Capacity (N/RC) categories determined?

Districts are divided into high, average, and low need categories based on their ability to meet the special needs of their students with local resources. Districts in the high need category are subdivided into four categories based on enrollment size and, in some cases, number of students per square mile. More information about the categories can be found in the *Report to the Governor and the Legislature on the Educational Status of the State's Schools* at **www.p12.nysed.gov/irs**.

In this section, this district's performance is compared with that of public schools statewide.

### This District's N/RC Category:

#### **Average Need Districts**

252

252

This is a school district with average student needs in relation to district resource capacity.

English

**Mathematics** 

## This District's Results in Grade 3 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pul	NY State Public			
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 663	*Range:	644-780	663-780	694-780					
2010 Mean Score: 671	100%	89% 94%	68% 53%		87% 86%	56% 55%			
2010-11 2009-10				17% 3%			17% 5%		
Number of Tested Students:		195 193	115 140	7 35					

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	218	89%	53%	3%	205	94%	68%	17%
Female	112	95%	57%	4%	91	96%	70%	16%
Male	106	84%	48%	2%	114	93%	67%	18%
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	86%	27%	0%	24	92%	46%	8%
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	2	-	-	-	2	-	-	-
White	188	91%	57%	4%	172	95%	71%	18%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	63%	13%	0%	9	89%	78%	22%
General-Education Students	184	97%	61%	4%	175	99%	74%	19%
Students with Disabilities	34	50%	6%	0%	30	67%	33%	7%
English Proficient	218	89%	53%	3%	205	94%	68%	17%
Limited English Proficient	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	102	87%	46%	2%	93	94%	57%	13%
Not Disadvantaged	116	91%	59%	4%	112	95%	78%	21%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	218	89%	53%	3%	205	94%	68%	17%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	ichool Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 3	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. March 17, 2012

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 3 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 683	*Range:	662-770	684-770	707-770				
2010 Mean Score: 693	100%	91% 94%	65% 53%		91% 91%	60% 59%		
2010-11 2009-10				23% 4%			13%	
Number of Tested Students:		199 191	115 132	8 46				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	219	<b>91</b> %	53%	4%	204	94%	65%	23%
Female	112	90%	57%	4%	91	90%	65%	22%
Male	107	92%	48%	4%	113	96%	65%	23%
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	77%	36%	0%	24	92%	50%	17%
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 2	-	-	–	2	-	-	-
White	189	94%	56%	4%	171	95%	67%	23%
Multiracial	• ••••••		••••••			••••	•••••	••••••
Small Group Totals	8	63%	13%	0%	9	78%	56%	33%
General-Education Students	185	97%	62%	4%	175	97%	69%	26%
Students with Disabilities	34	56%	0%	0%	29	72%	38%	0%
English Proficient	219	91%	53%	4%	204	94%	65%	23%
Limited English Proficient	• ••••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	103	84%	45%	2%	92	89%	55%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	116	97%	59%	5%	112	97%	72%	29%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	219	91%	53%	4%	204	94%	65%	23%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 3 Equivalent	3	-	_	-	2	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 4 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic	
		Percentage sco	oring at level(s):		Percentage sc		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 676	*Range:	637-775	671-775	722-775			
2010 Mean Score: 676	100%	96% 95%	66% <sub>60%</sub>		92% 92%	57% 57%	
2010-11 2009-10				0% 5%	н.		2% 6%
Number of Tested Students:		193 201	133 126	1 10			

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	201	96%	66%	0%	211	95%	60%	5%	
Female	87	94%	64%	1%	106	94%	61%	7%	
Male	114	97%	68%	0%	105	96%	58%	3%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	21	100%	48%	0%	25	96%	36%	4%	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	50%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	1	–	-	–	5	-	–	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	173	95%	69%	1%	172	95%	63%	5%	
Multiracial	•••••				•••••				
Small Group Totals	7	100%	57%	0%	8	100%	75%	0%	
General-Education Students	170	98%	72%	1%	182	99%	68%	5%	
Students with Disabilities	31	87%	32%	0%	29	69%	7%	0%	
English Proficient	201	96%	66%	0%	211	95%	60%	5%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	95	95%	62%	0%	80	94%	46%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	106	97%	70%	1%	131	96%	68%	7%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	201	96%	66%	0%	211	95%	60%	5%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 4	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. March 17, 2012

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 4 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage sco		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 693	*Range:	636-800	676-800	707-800			
2010 Mean Score: 693	100%	97% 97%	77% 73%		94% 95%	67% 64%	
2010-11 2009-10				32% <sub>29%</sub>	н.	н.	27% 26%
Number of Tested Students:		196 205	155 154	64 62			

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year			
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	202	97%	77%	32%	211	97%	73%	29%
Female	87	99%	75%	30%	106	98%	70%	25%
Male	115	96%	78%	33%	105	96%	76%	34%
American Indian or Alaska Native	21	95%	76%	29%	25	96%	80%	24%
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	83%	67%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-
White	173	98%	77%	32%	172	98%	73%	31%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	75%	63%	38%	8	100%	63%	25%
General-Education Students	170	100%	84%	37%	182	99%	80%	33%
Students with Disabilities	32	81%	41%	3%	29	83%	31%	7%
English Proficient	202	97%	77%	32%	211	97%	73%	29%
Limited English Proficient								
Economically Disadvantaged	96	95%	70%	17%	80	98%	69%	21%
Not Disadvantaged	106	99%	83%	45%	131	97%	76%	34%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	202	97%	77%	32%	211	97%	73%	29%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	-	-	3	-	-	-

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

### This District's Results in Grade 4 Science

	This Distric	t		NY State Pub	olic		
	Percentage s	coring at level(s):	·	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
	2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 82	Range: 45-100	65-100	85-100				
2010 Mean Score: 84 2010-11 2009-10	100% 100% 99%	93% 95%	52% 61%	98% 97%	88% 88%	52% 55%	
Number of Tested Students:	200 209	186 201	104 128				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	201	100%	93%	52%	211	99%	95%	61%	
Female	87	99%	92%	52%	106	99%	95%	61%	
Male	114	100%	93%	52%	105	99%	95%	60%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	21	100%	81%	38%	25	100%	100%	48%	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	83%	83%	50%	
Hispanic or Latino	2	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 2	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	172	99%	95%	53%	172	99%	95%	62%	
Multiracial	•••••							•••••	
Small Group Totals	8	100%	75%	63%	8	100%	100%	75%	
General-Education Students	169	99%	96%	57%	182	99%	99%	69%	
Students with Disabilities	32	100%	75%	25%	29	97%	69%	10%	
English Proficient	201	100%	93%	52%	211	99%	95%	61%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	95	99%	88%	43%	80	99%	94%	51%	
Not Disadvantaged	106	100%	96%	59%	131	99%	96%	66%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	201	100%	93%	52%	211	99%	95%	61%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 4 Equivalent	4	-	_	-	3	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 5 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 670	*Range:	648-795	668-795	700-795			
2010 Mean Score: 673	100%	94% 92%	59% <sub>55%</sub>		89% 88%	54% 52%	
2010-11 2009-10				6% 13%	н.		4% 13%
Number of Tested Students:		191 204	119 121	13 28			

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	203	94%	59%	6%	221	92%	55%	13%	
Female	103	92%	58%	9%	114	96%	61%	16%	
Male	100	96%	59%	4%	107	88%	49%	9%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	91%	50%	0%	23	87%	52%	13%	
Black or African American	5	100%	60%	20%	6	83%	67%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	_	–	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 3	-	_	-	2	-	-	-	
White	170	95%	59%	6%	186	93%	55%	13%	
Multiracial	•••••								
Small Group Totals	6	83%	67%	17%	6	100%	50%	0%	
General-Education Students	177	100%	66%	7%	197	96%	60%	14%	
Students with Disabilities	26	54%	12%	0%	24	58%	8%	0%	
English Proficient	203	94%	59%	6%	221	92%	55%	13%	
Limited English Proficient							•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	84	92%	48%	5%	87	87%	51%	9%	
Not Disadvantaged	119	96%	66%	8%	134	96%	57%	15%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	203	94%	59%	6%	221	92%	55%	13%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Total Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	5	5	2	1	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Languag Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 5	e O	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 5	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. March 17, 2012

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 5 Mathematics

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage scor	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 690	*Range:	640-780	676-780	707-780					
2010 Mean Score: 688	100%	97% 97%	77% 73%		94% 94%	66% 65%			
2010-11 2009-10				24% 24%	н.		23% 24%		
Number of Tested Students:		196 216	157 162	48 53					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	203	97%	77%	24%	222	97%	73%	24%	
Female	103	96%	75%	23%	114	99%	72%	25%	
Male	100	97%	80%	24%	108	95%	74%	22%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	91%	77%	9%	23	91%	74%	17%	
Black or African American	5	100%	60%	0%	6	100%	67%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
White	170	97%	78%	26%	187	98%	74%	26%	
Multiracial	••••••			••••••					
Small Group Totals	6	100%	67%	33%	6	100%	50%	17%	
General-Education Students	177	99%	84%	27%	198	100%	79%	27%	
Students with Disabilities	26	77%	35%	4%	24	75%	25%	0%	
English Proficient	203	97%	77%	24%	222	97%	73%	24%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••			••••••			•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	84	94%	69%	15%	88	95%	68%	25%	
Not Disadvantaged	119	98%	83%	29%	134	99%	76%	23%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	203	97%	77%	24%	222	97%	73%	24%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4 3-4 4		4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 5 Equivalent	5	3	3	2	1	-	-	-

## This District's Results in Grade 6 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	olic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 669	*Range:	644-785	662-785	694-785				
2010 Mean Score: 672	100%	96% 93%	72% 73%		88% 89%	56% 54%		
2010-11 2009-10				6% 9%			4% 7%	
Number of Tested Students:		208 210	156 165	12 20				

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4
All Students	216	96%	72%	6%	227	93%	73%	9%
Female	113	97%	78%	4%	117	95%	77%	6%
Male	103	95%	66%	8%	110	90%	68%	12%
American Indian or Alaska Native	23	96%	61%	0%	26	88%	50%	8%
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	67%	50%	17%
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 2	-	-	-	4	-	-	-
White	183	96%	74%	7%	186	94%	76%	8%
Multiracial	••••••							
Small Group Totals	10	100%	70%	0%	9	89%	78%	22%
General-Education Students	192	98%	78%	6%	196	98%	80%	10%
Students with Disabilities	24	79%	25%	0%	31	58%	29%	3%
English Proficient	216	96%	72%	6%	227	93%	73%	9%
Limited English Proficient	•••••							
Economically Disadvantaged	88	94%	65%	1%	106	88%	61%	8%
Not Disadvantaged	128	98%	77%	9%	121	97%	83%	10%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	216	96%	72%	6%	227	93%	73%	9%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT) <sup>†</sup> : Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 6	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. March 17, 2012

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 6 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic	
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sco	·	
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4
2011 Mean Score: 693	*Range:	640-780	674-780	700-780			
2010 Mean Score: 695 ■ 2010–11 ■ 2009–10	100%	99% 98%	81% 83%	47%	92% 92%	63% 61%	26% 27%
Number of Tested Students:		214 223	176 190	76 108			

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	216	99%	81%	35%	228	98%	83%	47%	
Female	113	99%	85%	32%	117	98%	83%	48%	
Male	103	99%	78%	39%	111	97%	84%	47%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	22	100%	73%	36%	26	100%	69%	27%	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	33%	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	–	5	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	r 2	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
White	184	99%	83%	35%	187	98%	85%	50%	
Multiracial	• •••••					••••	••••••		
Small Group Totals	10	100%	80%	30%	9	89%	89%	56%	
General-Education Students	192	99%	85%	39%	197	99%	88%	52%	
Students with Disabilities	24	100%	54%	4%	31	87%	52%	19%	
English Proficient	216	99%	81%	35%	228	98%	83%	47%	
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						•••••		
Economically Disadvantaged	88	99%	75%	22%	106	96%	75%	39%	
Not Disadvantaged	128	99%	86%	45%	122	99%	90%	55%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	216	99%	81%	35%	228	98%	83%	47%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other Assessments	2010-11	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 6 Equivalent	1	-	-	-	0			

## This District's Results in Grade 7 English Language Arts

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage sco	ring at level(s):	ng at level(s):		Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 666	*Range:	642-790	665-790	698-790				
2010 Mean Score: 667	100%	95% 95%	52% 55%		91% 90%	48% 50%		
2010-11 2009-10				3% 8%			4% 11%	
Number of Tested Students:		214 187	117 108	7 16				

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Studentoroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	225	95%	52%	3%	197	95%	55%	8%	
Female	111	98%	57%	5%	114	96%	62%	12%	
Male	114	92%	47%	1%	83	93%	45%	2%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	23	96%	35%	4%	20	90%	40%	0%	
Black or African American	6	83%	33%	0%	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	187	96%	53%	3%	168	96%	58%	9%	
Multiracial	•••••						•••••	•••••	
Small Group Totals	9	89%	78%	0%	9	78%	33%	11%	
General-Education Students	195	98%	59%	4%	173	98%	60%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	30	73%	7%	0%	24	71%	17%	0%	
English Proficient	225	95%	52%	3%	197	95%	55%	8%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••							•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	104	91%	39%	1%	77	88%	40%	5%	
Not Disadvantaged	121	98%	63%	5%	120	99%	64%	10%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	225	95%	52%	3%	197	95%	55%	8%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010-11 data only. Ranges for the 2009-10 data are available in the 2009-10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year			
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):		
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A
	Total				Total			
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 7	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. March 17, 2012

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 7 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic			
		Percentage sco	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4		
2011 Mean Score: 685	*Range:	639-800	670-800	694-800					
2010 Mean Score: 686	100%	96% 98%	79% 80%		92% 92%	65% 62%			
2010-11 2009-10				37% 37%			30% 29%		
Number of Tested Students:		220 194	182 159	85 74					

Results by	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring a	t level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	229	96%	79%	37%	198	98%	80%	37%	
Female	114	97%	83%	39%	115	98%	85%	38%	
Male	115	95%	76%	36%	83	98%	73%	36%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	24	92%	71%	8%	20	95%	70%	25%	
Black or African American	6	100%	50%	33%	4	-	-	-	
Hispanic or Latino	5	-	-	–	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	- 4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	
White	190	97%	81%	39%	169	98%	82%	39%	
Multiracial	•••••			••••••			••••••		
Small Group Totals	9	89%	89%	78%	9	100%	67%	33%	
General-Education Students	199	98%	84%	42%	174	100%	84%	40%	
Students with Disabilities	30	83%	47%	7%	24	83%	54%	17%	
English Proficient	229	96%	79%	37%	198	98%	80%	37%	
Limited English Proficient	••••••			••••••		••••	•••••	•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	105	93%	76%	21%	77	95%	77%	32%	
Not Disadvantaged	124	98%	82%	51%	121	100%	83%	40%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	229	96%	79%	37%	198	98%	80%	37%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested 2-4 3-4 4 Tested					2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 7 Equivalent	0				3	-	-	-	

## This District's Results in Grade 8 English Language Arts

		<b>This District</b>			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scor	ing at level(s):		Percentage sc	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
		2-4	3-4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 659	*Range:	628-790	658-790	699-790				
2010 Mean Score: 664	100%	98% 99%	55% 63%		92% 91%	47% 51%		
2010-11 2009-10				2% 7%	н.		2% 8%	
Number of Tested Students:		190 225	106 144	3 17				

Resultsby	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	Total	Percentag	e scoring at	level(s):	
Student Oroup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
All Students	194	98%	55%	<b>2</b> %	228	99%	63%	7%	
Female	114	98%	60%	2%	103	99%	80%	10%	
Male	80	98%	48%	1%	125	98%	50%	6%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	23	96%	43%	0%	30	100%	60%	0%	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	83%	0%	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	162	98%	57%	2%	185	98%	62%	9%	
Multiracial	•••••								
Small Group Totals	9	100%	44%	0%	7	100%	86%	14%	
General-Education Students	172	100%	60%	2%	199	100%	70%	9%	
Students with Disabilities	22	82%	14%	0%	29	90%	17%	0%	
English Proficient	194	98%	55%	2%	228	99%	63%	7%	
Limited English Proficient	•••••								
Economically Disadvantaged	78	95%	37%	0%	98	98%	56%	1%	
Not Disadvantaged	116	100%	66%	3%	130	99%	68%	12%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	194	98%	55%	2%	228	99%	63%	7%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	Number scoring at level(s):			Number scoring at level(s):			
Assessments	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	
New York State English as a Second Language Achievement Test (NYSESLAT)†: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	
	Total				Total				
Recently Arrived LEP Students NOT Tested on the ELA NYSTP: Grade 8	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	N/A	N/A	

<sup>+</sup> These counts represent recently arrived LEP students who used the NYSESLAT to fulfill the English language arts participation requirement. March 17, 2012

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Mathematics

		This District			NY State Pub	lic		
		Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):			
		2-4	3–4	4	2-4	3-4	4	
2011 Mean Score: 684	*Range:	639-775	674-775	704-775				
2010 Mean Score: 685	100%	96% 99%	73% 68%		91% 91%	60% <sub>55%</sub>		
2010-11 2009-10				20% 24%	н.	н.	18% 18%	
Number of Tested Students:		185 226	141 156	38 55				

<b>Results by</b>	2010-11	School Yea	r		2009–10 School Year				
Student Group	Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Total	Percentage scoring at level(s):			
Student of oup	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	
All Students	193	96%	73%	20%	229	99%	68%	24%	
Female	113	98%	76%	19%	104	99%	75%	24%	
Male	80	93%	69%	21%	125	98%	62%	24%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	23	87%	70%	4%	31	94%	42%	10%	
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	67%	33%	
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	-	-	4	-	-	-	
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander	· 1	-	-	-	3	-	-	-	
White	161	98%	74%	22%	185	99%	72%	25%	
Multiracial	••••••								
Small Group Totals	9	89%	67%	22%	7	100%	86%	43%	
General-Education Students	172	99%	77%	22%	200	99%	73%	27%	
Students with Disabilities	21	67%	38%	0%	29	97%	38%	3%	
English Proficient	193	96%	73%	20%	229	99%	68%	24%	
Limited English Proficient									
Economically Disadvantaged	77	91%	66%	12%	99	98%	54%	16%	
Not Disadvantaged	116	99%	78%	25%	130	99%	79%	30%	
Migrant									
Not Migrant	193	96%	73%	20%	229	99%	68%	24%	

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,


data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* These ranges are for 2010–11 data only. Ranges for the 2009–10 data are available in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Reports.

Other	2010-11 9	School Year		2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at level	(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
New York State Alternate Assessment (NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	3	-	-	-	2	-	-	-

District ID 14-14-01-06-0000

## This District's Results in Grade 8 Science



Results by Student Group	2010-11	School Yea	r	2009–10 School Year				
	Total	Percentag	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	Tested	2-4	3–4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	156	99%	82%	17%	229	99%	90%	39%
Female	90	100%	81%	13%	104	99%	88%	36%
Male	66	98%	83%	23%	125	99%	92%	42%
American Indian or Alaska Native	21	100%	86%	10%	31	100%	71%	26%
Black or African American	4	-	-	-	6	100%	100%	50%
Hispanic or Latino	3	-	-	–	4	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific I	slander				3	-	-	-
White	128	99%	82%	18%	185	99%	93%	41%
Multiracial	••••••••••		•••••		•••••			
Small Group Totals	7	100%	71%	29%	7	100%	86%	57%
General-Education Students	136	99%	88%	20%	199	100%	91%	44%
Students with Disabilities	20	100%	45%	0%	30	93%	83%	10%
English Proficient	156	99%	82%	17%	229	99%	90%	39%
Limited English Proficient	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••				•••••		•••••	
Economically Disadvantaged	71	99%	76%	14%	99	99%	83%	24%
Not Disadvantaged	85	100%	87%	20%	130	99%	95%	51%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	156	99%	82%	17%	229	99%	90%	39%


NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

Other	2010-11 \$	School Year			2009–10 School Year				
Assessments	Total	Number sco	oring at leve	l(s):	Total	Number scoring at level(s):			
	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	Tested	2-4	3-4	4	
New York State Alternate Assessment	2	_	_	_	2	_	_	_	
(NYSAA): Grade 8 Equivalent	э				2	_	_	_	
Regents Science	33	33	33	32	0				

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level English after Four Years of Instruction



<b>Results by</b>	2007 <b>Coho</b> i	rt		2006 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	252	86%	85%	45%	289	80%	77%	39%
Female	115	90%	89%	50%	134	84%	84%	53%
Male	137	82%	82%	40%	155	75%	72%	27%
American Indian or Alaska Native	19	79%	79%	42%	22	82%	82%	27%
Black or African American	4	-	—	–	2	-	-	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	-	–	–	7	-	-	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	
Pacific Islander								
White	225	86%	85%	46%	258	80%	78%	41%
Multiracial							•••••	
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	25%	9	56%	44%	0%
General-Education Students	224	92%	92%	50%	241	88%	86%	46%
Students with Disabilities	28	36%	32%	0%	48	40%	33%	6%
English Proficient	252	86%	85%	45%	289	80%	77%	39%
Limited English Proficient		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		•••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	••••••
Economically Disadvantaged	81	77%	75%	40%	86	70%	66%	31%
Not Disadvantaged	171	90%	89%	47%	203	84%	82%	42%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	252	86%	85%	45%	289	80%	77%	39%

NOTES


The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.

### This District's Total Cohort\* Results in Secondary-Level Mathematics after Four Years of Instruction



Results by	2007 <b>Coho</b> i	t		2006 Cohort**				
Student Group	Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):			Number	Percentage scoring at level(s):		
	of Students	2-4	3-4	4	of Students	2-4	3-4	4
All Students	252	87%	80%	12%	289	81%	76%	21%
Female	115	91%	83%	14%	134	89%	83%	20%
Male	137	84%	77%	11%	155	75%	71%	21%
American Indian or Alaska Native	19	84%	79%	11%	22	82%	82%	9%
Black or African American	4	-	-	–	2	-	–	-
Hispanic or Latino	4	_	–	–	7	-	–	-
Asian or Native Hawaiian/Other	••••••	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Pacific Islander								
White	225	88%	80%	13%	258	83%	77%	22%
Multiracial								
Small Group Totals	8	88%	88%	0%	9	44%	44%	11%
General-Education Students	224	93%	86%	14%	241	90%	88%	25%
Students with Disabilities	28	43%	29%	0%	48	35%	21%	0%
English Proficient	252	87%	80%	12%	289	81%	76%	21%
Limited English Proficient	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	••••••	••••••		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•••••	•••••
Economically Disadvantaged	81	81%	73%	10%	86	71%	65%	14%
Not Disadvantaged	171	90%	83%	13%	203	86%	81%	24%
Migrant								
Not Migrant	252	87%	80%	12%	289	81%	76%	21%

NOTES

The - symbol indicates that data for a group of students have been suppressed. If a group has fewer than five students,

data for that group and the next smallest group(s) are suppressed to protect the privacy of individual students.

\* A total cohort consists of all students who first entered Grade 9 in a particular year, and all ungraded students with disabilities who reached their seventeenth birthday in that year, and were enrolled in the school/district for five months. Students are excluded from the cohort if they transferred to another school district, nonpublic school, or criminal justice facility, or left the U.S. and its territories or died before the report date. Statewide total cohort also includes students who were enrolled for fewer than five months.

\*\* 2006 cohort data are those reported in the 2009–10 Accountability and Overview Report.